# ISD 659 Northfield Education Finance Analysis

School Funding, Inflation & Student Performance

Ken Wolf & Bob Fallen June 3, 2024



## **About The Authors**

Ken Wolf: A former state legislator (R, Burnsville) and recognized expert in education finance who served as a member of the Education Finance Committee. Wolf published several papers addressing school finance and was appointed Chairman of the Subcommittee on Government Efficiency and Oversight.

**Bob Fallen:** Founder of *Local Elections Matter* with a mission to inform citizens about local school board governance processes and to motivate greater public engagement and amplification of matters that impact K-12 school districts.

## GOP lawmaker disputes claim that state 'underfunds' schools

State Rep. Ken Wolf is attempting a difficult task — to explain Minnesota's arcane education finance system to the masses and to refute the claim In an eight-page newsletter to his



district, the Burns-ville Republican cont ends that unrestricted state aid to school districts has exceeded inflation by 9 percent since 1991. He says total state aid — including money earmarked for specific purposes

— has exceeded inflation by 14 percent during the same eight-year period.

Wolf, who serves on the House school finance committee, says he prepared the newsletter because he got tired of hearing school officials say that "the state has 'underfunded education year after

year,' especially in the years Arne Carlson was governor."
"I took it kind of personally," he says.

Wolf, a former computer program and a numbers whiz, supports his assertions with a series of charts and graphs illus-trating how the system works and how state support for schools has risen. He also contends that the system has been slightly more generous for the Burnsville district than for school districts

Duane Benson, president of the Minnesota Business Partnership and a former state senator, praises Wolf's newsletter as "almost revolutionary in this day and age. He's saying to his con-stituents: 'Stay with me now. I'm going to take a complicated issue and I'm going to try to explain it."

Officials of state education groups and the Burnsville school district express doubts about the accuracy of some of Wolf's numbers, but to date they have offered none of their own.

Wolf's newsletter went out over theal Day weekend as Burnsville

urban districts like it were beginning to grapple with some difficult budget prob-

lems for the coming year.

Although the Minnesota School Boards Association has heralded the \$7.9-billion school aid package passed by the 1999 Legislature as the most generous in a decade, a number of districts are talking about the need to lay off teachers and make other "budget cuts."

Rep. Ken Wolf is attempting the impossible - to explain to constituents how Minnesota's arcane education funding system works.

In most cases, these "cuts" are not really cuts at all — but reductions in the spending increases that districts would like to make.

The main reason for the budget prob-lems in many districts is that they have flat or declining enrollments. The bulk of state aid is distributed on a per-pupil

But the Legislature did increase the amount of per-pupil aid by 4.7 percent in the first year of the biennium and 3.2 percent in the second year. It also pro-vided a partial cushion for districts with declining enrollments. And it approved

## **Wolf's report makes** important distinctions

To the editor:

Rep. Ken Wolf's (R-Burnsville) recent report, "Education Finance," has received a lot of attention, as it should.

If we are going to effectively involve parents and individual teachers in improving student achievement then we must ensure they have useful information. In the world of education finance and arguments over school funding, it's easy for people to throw around enough figures and accusations to confuse a brain surgeon.

Most people agree teacher compensa tion takes up the majority of any school district's budget. And, as illustrated by the trade-offs made by the St. Paul School District, we often end up having to decide between educational program offerings and differing levels of teacher pay raises.

To make the most informed decisions. we need to be able to distinguish between the increases actually received by individual teachers and the increase in the overall salary schedule. Generally, compensation for individual teachers exceeds the increase in the salary schedule.

Rep. Wolf's report did a marvelous job at making that important distinction. Next time your local school board announces a salary settlement calling for yearly compensation increases of 2.8 and 2.7 percent, you can ask if that is for individual teachers or for the salary schedule. By boiling it down to the impact on individual teachers, we can more accurately discuss if it was enough

Rep. Wolf's report, combined with other legislative changes are making it possible for parents and teachers to better understand the issues of student achievement and school funding. Ultimately, our children will be the ones who will benefit from

Duane Benson **Executive Director of Minnesota Business Partnership** 

# ISD 659 Northfield Education Funding vs. Inflation (CPI)

Despite the narrative that public education is underfunded, the Combined Revenue in ISD 659 Northfield <u>exceeded inflation</u> by 12.8% from 2013-2023. **Over that same period, per-pupil funding went from \$ 10,277 to \$ 14,753,** an increase of 43.55%. Per-pupil funding increased an average of 4.36% per year, 1.79% above the inflation index (CPI.)

Understandably, the public does not fully grasp the nuances of K-12 finance, and when they are told that K12 funding has lagged inflation, they assume the statement to be based in fact. However, strictly looking at the "Basic Formula" does not represent a complete picture of education funding and provides a misleading story communicated to the tax-paying public. The true measure of school funding is the Combined Revenue reported by the Minnesota Department of Education, that combines State Aid and Local Levies. This is the only way to get a complete picture of the adequacy of education funding on a district-by-district and statewide basis.

Cost structures for school districts vary, with many nuances and variables related to expenditures. However, the following information is based 100% on factual data available to the public.

# ISD 659 Northfield 10-Year Total Funding vs Inflation (CPI)

Description	FY 2013		FY 2023		# CHANGE		% CHANGE	NOTES	
Average Daily Membership (ADM)		3818		3680		-138	-3.61%	Student population decreased by 3.61%, from 2013 to 2023.	
Consumer Price Index (CPI)		232.95		292.66		59.71	25.63%	CPI Increased 2.56 percent per year	
Basic Education Fund	\$	23,115,416	\$	27,825,347	\$	4,709,931	20.38%	Basic increased 2.04% per year, under CPI by 0.53%	
Other General Education	\$	10,013,598	\$	14,615,163	\$	4,601,565	45.95%	Other increased 4.60% per year, over CPI by 2.03%	
<b>General Education Total</b>	\$	33,129,014	\$	42,440,510	\$	9,311,496	28.11%	General Education increased 2.81% per year, over CPI by 0.25%	
Special Education Fund	\$	3,800,972	\$	7,523,036	\$	3,722,064	97.92%	Special Education increased 9.79% per year, over CPI by 7.23 %	
Other Funds	\$	2,301,646	\$	4,327,419	\$	2,025,773	88.01%	Other increased 8.80% per year, over CPI by 6.24%	
Combined Revenue	\$	39,231,632	\$	54,290,965	\$	15,059,333	38.39%	Combined Revenue increased 3.84% per year, over CPI by 1.28%	
Combined Aid (State)	\$	29,422,607	\$	40,005,031	\$	10,582,424	35.97%	State Aid increased 3.60% per year, over CPI by 1.03%	
Combined Levies (Local)	\$	9,809,025	\$	14,285,934	\$	4,476,909	45.64%	Local Levies increased 4.56% per year, over CPI by 2.00%	
<b>Total Combined Revenue</b>	\$	39,231,632	\$	54,290,965	\$	15,059,333	38.39%	Combined Revenue increased 3.84% per year, over CPI by 1.28%	

## **Key Findings**

- Combined Revenue for ISD 659 Northfield exceeded inflation by 12.76% for the past 10 years (2013-23), (1.28% per year).
- In 2013, Combined Revenue in ISD 659 Northfield consisted of Basic (59%), Other General Ed (26%), Special Ed (10%) and Other Funds (6%). State % in Addendum 2.
- In 2023, Combined Revenue In ISD 659 Northfield consisted of Basic (51%), Other General Ed (27%), Special Ed (14%) and Other Funds (8%). State % in Addendum 2.

# ISD 659 Northfield 10-Year Per-Pupil Funding vs Inflation (CPI)

Description	FY 2013		FY 2023		# CHANGE		% CHANGE	NOTES
Average Daily Membership (ADM)		3818		3680		-138	-3.61%	Student population decreased by 3.61%, from 2013 to 2023.
Consumer Price Index (CPI)		232.95		292.66		59.71	25.63%	CPI Increased 2.56 percent per year
Basic Education Fund	\$	6,055	\$	7,561	\$	1,506	24.87%	Basic increased 2.49% per year, under CPI by 0.08%
Other General Education	\$	2,623	\$	3,972	\$	1,349	51.43%	Other increased 5.14% per year, over CPI by 2.58%
General Education Total	\$	8,678	\$	11,533	\$	2,855	32.90%	General Education increased 3.29% per year, over CPI by 0.73%
Special Education Fund	\$	996	\$	2,044	\$	1,048	105.22%	Special Education increased 10.52% per year, over CPI by 7.96 $\%$
Other Funds	\$	603	\$	1,176	\$	573	95.02%	Other increased 9.50% per year, over CPI by 6.94%
Combined Revenue	\$	10,277	\$	14,753	\$	4,476	43.55%	Combined Revenue increased 4.36% per year, over CPI by 1.79%
Combined Aid (State)	\$	7,707	\$	10,871	\$	3,164	41.05%	State Aid increased 4.11% per year, over CPI by 1.54%
Combined Levies (Local)	\$	2,570	\$	3,882	\$	1,312	51.05%	Local Levies increased 5.11% per year, over CPI by 2.54%
<b>Total Combined Revenue</b>	\$	10,277	\$	14,753	\$	4,476	43.55%	Combined Revenue increased 4.36% per year, over CPI by 1.79%

## **Key Findings**

- Combined Per-Pupil Revenue for ISD 659 Northfield exceeded inflation (CPI) by 17.9% (1.79% per year) for the past 10 years, 2013-23.
- Per-Pupil Funding for ISD 659 Northfield increased \$4,476 over the past 10 years, from \$10,277 to \$14,753 a jump of 43.55%.

## ISD 659 Northfield Funding vs. Student Performance (MCAs)

Since 2013, per-pupil funding in ISD 659 Northfield <u>exceeded inflation by 18 %</u> while student proficiency as measured by the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment (MCA) averaged:

## Math (65%), Reading (-65%) and Science (56%)

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	2023	
MATH	71.0	67.5	67.4	66.1	66.6	68.1	66.0	57.4	59.2	58.3	64.76%
READING	66.4	67.1	67.4	65.0	66.2	68.8	68.4	63.0	59.5	61.2	65.31%
SCIENCE	53.7	58.6	58.4	60.8	56.3	61.6	57.2	52.1	45.0	53.5	55.71%

Note: MCA Testing Cancelled in 2020



SOURCE: Minnesota Department of Education "Report Card"

# Addendum 1: Education Funding Sources in Minnesota

For decades, the education community in Minnesota has stated that school funding has lagged inflation. The current version of this sentiment is that the basic general education formula has lagged inflation for the past 20 years. The basis of this premise is rooted in the **Estimated General Fund State Aid and Levy Revenues**, published by the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE). The "Basic" formula is one of only six commonly used categories utilized to calculate school funding from state and local sources:

- 1) Basic Formula
- 2) General Education
- 3) Special Education
- 4) Combined Aid
- 5) Combined Levies
- 6) Combined Revenues

Basic represents the foundation of school district revenue, while Combined Revenues represent the total of state and local sources that fund public education. The term "basic general education formula" does not exist in the MDE database.

# Addendum 2: Commonly Used Funding Categories

**Basic Formula.** The base level of public school funding (state aid), representing 54% of Combined Revenues statewide in 2023, down from 70% in 2003.

**Basic General Education.** The same as Basic.

**General Education.** Combination of funds for the operations of the school district (state aid), representing 77% of Combined Revenue statewide in 2023, down from 84% in 2003.

**Combined Revenue.** The sum of all school funding from state aid and local levies, representing 100% of funding. The true measure of school funding.

**Combined Aid.** Revenue provided by State government sources (State Aid), representing 79% of Combined Revenue statewide in 2023, down from 92% in 2003.

**Combined Levies.** Revenue provided by Local government sources (Local Levies), representing 21% of Combined Revenue statewide in 2023, up from 8% in 2003.

## Addendum 3: Data Sources

#### **School Revenue**

https://public.education.mn.gov/MDEAnalytics/DataTopic.jsp?TOPICID=43

Estimated General Fund State Aid and Levy Revenues, FY 2003 to FY 2025; End of Session Forecast

#### **Financing Education in Minnesota (2022-23)**

A Publication of the Minnesota House of Representatives, Fiscal Analysis Department, Solveig Beckel, Fiscal Analyst

Minnesota School Finance: A Guide for Legislators (2022), MN House Research, Tim Strom, Legislative Analyst

## **ACT SCORES**

https://public.education.mn.gov/MDEAnalytics/DataTopic.jsp?TOPICID=87

#### **MCA TESTING**

Minnesota Department of Education: Public.education.mn.gov/MDEAnalytics/DataTopic.jsp?TOPICID=1 Minnesota Report Card (MDE)

#### **Consumer Price Index (CPI) Data**

The CPI figures used are based on the Nov 2022 actual Consumer Price Index for All Items, All Urban Consumers as provided by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics and estimates for 2023-2030 provided by Minnesota Management and Budget. https://www.bls.gov/cpi/tables/supplemental-files/