D623 Roseville School Funding, Inflation & Student Performance

Ken Wolf & Bob Fallen October 27, 2023



About The Authors

A recognized expert in education finance, Ken Wolf served as a state legislator in Minnesota serving on the Education and Education Finance Committees from 1995 through 2002. During that time, Wolf published several papers addressing school finance. In 1997, Wolf was appointed to serve as Chairman of the Subcommittee on Government Efficiency and Oversight. Bob Fallen is the Founder of Local Elections Matter, an organization with a mission to inform citizens about local School Board governance processes and to motivate greater public engagement and amplification of matters that impact school districts. Fallen is also a volunteer with the Hopkins Schools Alliance, a local group of parents and residents concerned about the future of the Hopkins School District.

GOP lawmaker disputes claim that state 'underfunds' schools

Carlson was governor.'

statewide.

with a series of charts and graphs illus-trating how the system works and how state support for schools has risen. He

also contends that the system has been slightly more generous for the Burnsville

district than for school districts

Minnesota Business Partnership and a former state senator, praises Wolf's

newsletter as "almost revolutionary in

this day and age. He's saying to his con-

stituents: 'Stay with me now. I'm going to take a complicated issue and I'm going to try to explain it.' "

Officials of state education groups and

the Burnsville school district express

doubts about the accuracy of some of

offered none of their own.

Wolf's numbers, but to date they have

Wolf's newsletter went out over the.

Memorial Day weekend as Burnsville

Duane Benson, president of the

State Rep. Ken wolf is attempting a diffi-cult task — to explain Minnesota's arcane education finance system to the masses and to refute the claim that state aid has not kept pace with inflation.

my the 1 STEVEN DORNFELD ASSOCIATE

In an eight-page newsletter to his district, the Burns-ville Republican contends that unrestrict-EDITORIAL PAGE

ville Republican contends that unrestrict-ed state aid to school districts has exceeded inflation by 8 percent since 1991. He asys total state aid — including money earmarked for specific purposes — has exceeded inflation by 14 percent during the same eight-year period.

Wolf, who serves on the House school Wolf, who serves on the House school finance committee, says he prepared the newsletter because he got tired of hear-ing school officials say that "the state has 'underfunded education year after school District 191 and a number of sub-urban districts like it were beginning to grapple with some difficult budget prob-lems for the coming year. Although the Minnesota School Boards

Association has heralded the \$7.9-billion school aid package passed by the 1999 Legislature as the most generous in a decade, a number of districts are talking year,' especially in the years Arne. "I took it kind of personally," he says. about the need to lay off teachers and Wolf, a former computer program and make other "budget cuts." a numbers whiz, supports his assertions

Rep. Ken Wolf is attempting the impossible - to explain to constituents how Minnesota's arcane education funding system works.

School District 191 and a number of sub-

In most cases, these "cuts" are not really cuts at all - but reductions in the spending increases that districts would like to make

The main reason for the budget prob-lems in many districts is that they have flat or declining enrollments. The bulk of state aid is distributed on a per-pupil basis.

But the Legislature did increase the amount of per-pupil aid by 4.7 percent in the first year of the biennium and 3.2 percent in the second year. It also pro-vided a partial cushion for districts with declining enrollments. And it approved

Wolf's report makes important distinctions To the editor:

Rep. Ken Wolf's (R-Burnsville) recent report, "Education Finance," has received a lot of attention, as it should.

If we are going to effectively involve parents and individual teachers in improving student achievement then we must ensure they have useful information. In the world of education finance and arguments over school funding, it's easy for people to throw around enough figures and accusations to confuse a brain surgeon.

Most people agree teacher compensation takes up the majority of any school district's budget. And, as illustrated by the trade-offs made by the St. Paul School District, we often end up having to decide between educational program offerings and differing levels of teacher pay raises.

To make the most informed decisions. we need to be able to distinguish between the increases actually received by individual teachers and the increase in the overall salary schedule. Generally, compensation for individual teachers exceeds the increase in the salary schedule.

Rep. Wolf's report did a marvelous job at making that important distinction. Next time your local school board announces a salary settlement calling for yearly compensation increases of 2.8 and 2.7 percent, you can ask if that is for individual teachers or for the salary schedule. By boiling it down to the impact on individual teachers, we can more accurately discuss if it was enough

Rep. Wolf's report, combined with other legislative changes are making it possible for parents and teachers to better understand the issues of student achievement and school funding. Ultimately, our children will be the ones who will benefit from these efforts.

Duane Benson Executive Director of Minnesota Business Partnership

2

D623 Roseville Education Funding vs. Inflation (CPI)

While many lament that "school funding has not kept pace with inflation", the Combined Revenue in District 623 Roseville <u>exceeded inflation</u> by 26.28% from 2013-2023. **Over that same period, per-pupil funding in D623 went from \$10,628 to \$15,353 an increase of 44.5%.**

Understandably, the public does not fully grasp the nuances of K-12 finance, and when they are told that K12 funding has lagged inflation, they assume the statement to be based in fact. However, strictly looking at the "Basic Formula" does not represent a complete picture of education funding and provides a misleading story communicated to the tax-paying public. The true measure of school funding is the Combined Revenue reported by the Minnesota Department of Education, that combines State Aid and Local Levies. This is the only way to get a complete picture of the adequacy of education funding on a district-by-district and statewide basis.

Cost structures for school districts vary, with many nuances and variables related to expenditures. However, the following information is based 100% on factual data available to the public.

D623 Roseville Funding vs Inflation (CPI)

Description	FY 2013	FY 2023	# CHANGE	% CHANGE	NOTES		
Average Daily Membership (ADM)	7,196	7,567	371	5.2%	Student population increased by 5.2%		
Consumer Price Index (CPI)	232.95	292.66	59.70	25.63%	CPI Increased 2.56 percent per year		
Basic Education Fund	43,420,948	56,770,873	13,349,925	30.75%	Basic increased 3.08% per year, over CPI by 0.52%		
Other General Education	21,158,155	31,159,341	10,001,186	47.27%	General increased 4.73% per year, over CPI by 2.17%		
General Education Total	64,579,103	87,930,214	23,351,111	36.16%	Basic & General increased 3.62% per year, over CPI by 1.06%		
Special Education Fund	6,328,681	13,713,918	7,385,237	116.69%	Special Ed increased 11.67% per year, over CPI by 9.11%		
Other Funds	5,567,276	28,240,517	22,673,241	407.26%	Other increased 40.73% per year, over CPI by 38.17%		
Combined Revenue	76,475,060	116,170,731	39,695,671	51.91%	Total Funding increased 5.19% per year, over CPI by 2.63%		
Combined Aid (State)	58,330,133	82,160,518	8,332,677	32.06%	State Aid increased 3.21% per year, over CPI by 0.65%		
Combined Levies (Local)	18,144,927	34,010,213	15,865,286	87.44%	Local Levies increased 8.74% per year, over CPI by 6.18%		
Total Combined Revenue	76,475,060	116,170,731	39,695,671	51.91%	Total Funding increased 5.19% per year, over CPI by 2.63%		

Key Findings

• Combined Revenue for D623 Roseville exceeded inflation by 26.3% for the past 10 years (2013-23), (2.63% per year).

- In 2013, Combined Revenue consisted of Basic (58%), Other General Ed (28%), Special Ed (8%) and Other Funds (6%).
- In 2023, Combined Revenue consisted of Basic (49%), Other General Ed (27%), Special Ed (12%) and Other Funds (12%).

D623 Roseville 10-Year Per-Pupil Funding vs Inflation (CPI)

Description	FY 2013	FY 2023	# CHANGE	% CHANGE	NOTES		
Average Daily Membership (ADM)	7,196	7,567	371	5.2%	Student population increased by 5.2%		
Consumer Price Index (CPI)	232.96	292.66	59.70	25.63%	CPI Increased 2.56 percent per year		
Basic Education Fund	6,034	7,503	1,469	24.36%	Basic increased 2.44% per year, under CPI by 0.12%		
Other General Education	2,941	4,118	1,117	40.02%	General increased 4.00% per year, over CPI by 1.44%		
General Education Total	8,975	11,621	2,646	29.48%	Basic & General increased 2.95% per year, over CPI by 0.39%		
Special Education Fund	880	1,812	932	105.91%	Special Ed increased 10.59% per year, over CPI by 8.03%		
Other Funds	773	1,920	1,147	148.38%	Other increased 14.84%% per year, over CPI by 12.28%		
Combined Revenue	10,628	15,353	4,725	44.46%	Total Funding increased 4.45% per year, over CPI by 1.89%		
Combined Aid (State)	8,106	10,858	2,752	33.95%	State Aid increased 3.40% per year, over CPI by 0.84%		
Combined Levies (Local)	2,522	4,495	1,973	78.23%	Local Levies increased 7.82% per year, over CPI by 5.26%		
Total Combined Revenue	10,628	15,353	4,725	44.46%	Total Funding increased 4.45% per year, over CPI by 1.89%		

Key Findings

• Combined Per-Pupil Revenue for D623 Roseville exceeded inflation (CPI) by 18.83% (1.88% per year) for the past 10 years, 2013-23.

• Per-Pupil Funding for D623 Roseville increased \$4,725 over the past 20 years, from \$10,628 to \$15,353, a jump of 44.46%.

D623 Roseville School Funding vs. Student Performance (MCAs)

Since 2013, per-pupil funding in D623 Roseville <u>exceeded inflation by 18.8%</u> while scores of K-12 students on the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment (MCA) tests declined by: Math (- 36%), Reading (- 15%) and Science (- 36%)

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	2023	
MATH	58.9	58.0	55.0	51.9	50.3	49.4	49.1	36.7	37.5	37.9	- 35.8%
READING	57.2	58.7	55.9	54.4	55.5	56.5	56.4	60.4	50.0	48.8	- 14.7%
SCIENCE	50.3	46.3	50.2	50.2	47.3	41.2	39.4	36.4	33.3	32.3	- 35.8%

Note: MCA Testing Cancelled in 2020

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

SOURCE: Minnesota Department of Education "Report Card"

Addendum 1: Education Funding Sources in Minnesota

For decades, the education community in Minnesota has stated that school funding has lagged inflation. The current version of this sentiment is that *the basic general education formula has lagged inflation* for the past 20 years. The basis of this premise is rooted in the **Estimated General Fund State Aid and Levy Revenues**, published by the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE). The "Basic" formula is one of only six commonly used categories utilized to calculate school funding from state and local sources:

- 1) Basic Formula
- 2) General Education
- 3) Special Education
- 4) Combined Aid
- 5) Combined Levies
- 6) Combined Revenues

Basic represents the foundation of school district revenue, while Combined Revenues represent the total of state and local sources that fund public education. The term "basic general education formula" does not exist in the MDE database.

Addendum 2: Commonly Used Funding Categories

Basic Formula. The base level of public school funding (state aid), representing 44% of Combined Revenues statewide in 2023.

Basic General Education. The same as Basic.

General Education. Combination of funds for the operations of the school district (state aid), representing 68% of Combined Revenue statewide in 2023.

Combined Revenue. The sum of all school funding from state aid and local levies, representing 100% of funding. The true measure of school funding.

Combined Aid. Revenue provided by State government sources (State Aid), representing 59% of Combined Revenue statewide in 2023.

Combined Levies. Revenue provided by Local government sources (Local Levies), representing 41% of Combined Revenue statewide in 2023.

Addendum 3: Data Sources

REVENUES

https://public.education.mn.gov/MDEAnalytics/DataTopic.jsp?TOPICID=43 Estimated General Fund State Aid and Levy Revenues, FY 2003 to FY 2025; End of Session Forecast

Financing Education in Minnesota (2022-23)

A Publication of the Minnesota House of Representatives, Fiscal Analysis Department, Solveig Beckel, Fiscal Analyst

Minnesota School Finance: A Guide for Legislators (2022), MN House Research, Tim Strom, Legislative Analyst

ACT SCORES https://public.education.mn.gov/MDEAnalytics/DataTopic.jsp?TOPICID=87

MCA TESTING Minnesota Department of Education Public.education.mn.gov/MDEAnalytics/DataTopic.jsp?TOPICID=1 Minnesota Report Card (MDE)